arXiv:1608.06465v1 [math.AG] 23 Aug 2016

CONNECTED COMPONENTS OF MODULI SPACES OF GENERALISED KUMMER VARIETIES

CLAUDIO ONORATI

Abstract. Moduli spaces of polarised (with fixed polarisation type) irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds deformation equivalent to Hilbert schemes of points on $K3$ surfaces are not connected in general. This is the content of [Apo14], which used Markman's results on the monodromy group to count the number of such connected components. The goal of this paper is to extend Apostolov's result to the case of irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds deformation equivalent to generalised Kummer varieties, the main tool being the recent computation of the monodromy group completed by Mongardi ([Mon14]).

Introduction

An irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold X is a simply connected compact kähler manifold with an everywhere nondegenerate holomorphic 2-form which is unique up to constant. The second integral cohomology group $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ is a lattice which we abstractly denote by Λ. The moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_\Lambda$ of marked irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds of deformation type Λ is constructed as a coarse and non-Hausdorff moduli space (see e.g. [Huy99], [Mar11], [GHS13]).

If $h \in \Lambda$ is a positive class, we denote by $\bar{h}$ the $\mathrm{O}(\Lambda)$-orbit determined by h. The moduli space $\mathcal{V}_{\bar{h}}$ of manifolds with polarisation type $\bar{h}$ is constructed by Viehweg ([Vie95]) as a quasi-projective variety. We prefer to see it as an analytic variety, where a point in $\mathcal{V}_{\bar{h}}$ is a pair (X,H) such that $H \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ is ample and $\eta(c_1(H)) \in \bar{h}$ for some marking $\eta : H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}) \to \Lambda$ (cf. [GHS10], [Mar11], [GHS13]). With an abuse of notation, in the rest of the paper we will refer to a polarised manifold as a pair (X,h) where $h \in \mathrm{NS}(X) \subset H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ is intended to be the first Chern class of an ample line bundle.

The moduli space $\mathcal{V}_\Lambda$ of polarised irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds is then the disjoint union of $\mathcal{V}_{\bar{h}}$, as $\bar{h} \subset \Lambda$ runs through all the polarisation types. In order to count the number of connected components of $\mathcal{V}_\Lambda$, it is enough to count the number of connected components of $\mathcal{V}_{\bar{h}}$. As an example we can consider the two-dimensional case, where $\mathcal{V}_{\bar{h}}$ is connected. In higher dimension, Apostolov shows in [Apo14] that, for manifolds of $K3^{[n]}$-type, $\mathcal{V}_{\bar{h}}$ has several connected components in general and their number tends to be larger and larger according to the dimension and the values of the degree and the divisibility of the polarisation type. In this note we recover all the statements in Apostolov's paper for manifolds of $\mathrm{Kum}^{[n]}$-type.

Since the Néron-Severi group of an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold is torsion free, we can work with primitively polarised manifolds without loss of generality. In particular, with an abuse of notation, we still call $\mathcal{V}_\Lambda$ the moduli space of primitively polarised manifolds and we always work with it.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank my supervisor Professor Gregory Sankaran for the great amount of conversations and advice. Moreover I thank Giovanni Mongardi for having read a preliminary version of this work and Benjamin Wieneck for having let me know about the existence of one of his results (cf. Proposition 1.4). This work was supported by an EPSRC-SCI-DTA Programme.

Notations. Let $n \geq 2$. A manifold of $\mathrm{Kum}^{[n]}$-type is an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold deformation equivalent to a generalised Kummer variety $\mathrm{Kum}^{[n]}(A)$. In this case the second integral cohomology group $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ is isometric to the abstract lattice $\Lambda_n = 3U \oplus \langle -2-2n \rangle$, where U is the unimodular hyperbolic plane and $\langle -2-2n \rangle$ is the rank 1 lattice generated by an element of length $-2-2n$ ([Bea83]). We use the short notation $\mathcal{V}_n := \mathcal{V}_{\Lambda_n}$ for the moduli space of polarised manifolds of $\mathrm{Kum}^{[n]}$-type and indicate with Υ_n the set of its connected components.

1. Parallel transport operators

Definition 1.1. (1) An isomorphism $g\colon H^2(X_1,\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(X_2,\mathbb{Z})$ is called a *parallel transport operator* if there exists a family $\pi\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$ of deformations, points $b_1, b_2 \in B$ with isomorphisms $\psi_1\colon \mathcal{X}_{b_1} \cong X_1$ and $\psi_2\colon \mathcal{X}_{b_2} \cong X_2$ and a path γ in B from b_1 to b_2 such that the parallel transport along γ in the local system $R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z}$ induces the isometry

$$\psi_2^* \circ g \circ (\psi_1^{-1})^*\colon H^2(\mathcal{X}_{b_1},\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(\mathcal{X}_{b_2},\mathbb{Z}).$$

(2) A parallel transport operator from $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ to itself is called a *monodromy operator* and we denote by $\mathrm{Mon}^2(X)$ the group of such isometries.

(3) A parallel transport operator $g\colon H^2(X_1,\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(X_2,\mathbb{Z})$ is a *polarised* parallel transport operator between two polarised pairs (X_1,h_1) and (X_2,h_2) if $g(h_1) = h_2$ (cf. [Mar11, Corollary 7.4]).

Remark 1.2. (1) The group operation on $\mathrm{Mon}^2(X)$ is the usual composition. In fact if $f, g \in \mathrm{Mon}^2(X)$, pick two deformation families $\mathcal{X} \to B$ and $\mathcal{X}' \to B'$, points $b \in B$ and $b' \in B'$ and isomorphisms $\mathcal{X}_b \cong X \cong \mathcal{X}'_{b'}$ such that f is given by parallel transport along a loop in $\mathcal{X} \to B$ and g by parallel transport along a loop in $\mathcal{X}' \to B'$. Then $f \circ g$ can be seen as a parallel transport operator along the induced loop in the deformation family $\mathcal{X}'' \to B''$, where $\mathcal{X}''$ is obtained by gluing $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{X}'$ along the isomorphism $\mathcal{X}_b \cong X \cong \mathcal{X}'_{b'}$ and B'' is obtained by connecting B and B' at the points b and b' (cf. [Mar11]).

(2) The definition of polarised parallel transport operator we gave is not natural (cf. [Mar11, Definition 1.1.(4)]). Nevertheless, Markman shows ([Mar11, Corollary 7.4]) that item (3) in Definition 1.1 completely characterises a polarised parallel transport operator. We preferred to state the definition in terms of this characterisation because this is the form in which we will use it.

(3) The big cone $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_X = \left\{x \in H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{R} \mid (x,x) > 0\right\}$ comes with a preferred orientation (cf. [Mar11, Section 4]). We say that an isometry $g : H^2(X_1,\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(X_2,\mathbb{Z})$ is *orientation preserving* if the induced map between the big cones sends the preferred orientation of the first to the preferred orientation of the second. By definition a parallel transport operator is always orientation preserving.

From now on X will always be a manifold of $\mathrm{Kum}^{[n]}$-type.

Let $\mathrm{O}^+(H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}))$ be the group of orientation preserving isometries and define the subgroup

$$W(X) = \left\{g \in \mathrm{O}^+(H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})) \mid g \text{ acts as } \pm id \text{ on } A_X\right\},$$

where $A_X = H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})^*/H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ is the discriminant group. Denote by $\chi\colon W(X) \to \{\pm 1\}$ the corresponding character.

Proposition 1.3 ([Mon14], Theorem 2.3)**.** $\mathrm{Mon}^2(X) = \{g \in W(X) \mid \det(g)\chi(g) = 1\}$.

In particular $\mathrm{Mon}^2(X)$ is an index two subgroup of $W(X)$ and, with an abuse of notation, we will indicate with τ_X any element in $W(X)$ which is not a monodromy operator.

Put $\widetilde{\Lambda} = 4U$ and consider the set $\mathrm{O}(\Lambda_n, \widetilde{\Lambda})$ of isometric primitive embeddings of Λ_n inside $\widetilde{\Lambda}$. Both $\mathrm{O}(\Lambda_n)$ and $\mathrm{O}(\widetilde{\Lambda})$ act on $\mathrm{O}(\Lambda_n, \widetilde{\Lambda})$ by, respectively, pre- and post-composition.

When $X = \mathcal{K}_v(A)$ is an Albanese fibre of a moduli space of sheaves on an abelian surface A, we have an isometric primitive embedding $i_v\colon H^2(\mathrm{Kum}^{[n]}(A),\mathbb{Z}) \cong v^\perp \hookrightarrow H^{even}(A,\mathbb{Z}) \cong \widetilde{\Lambda}$ inside the Mukai lattice ([Yos01]). This defines a distinguished element $[i_v] := \mathrm{O}(\widetilde{\Lambda}) \cdot i_v$ in the quotient $\mathrm{O}(\widetilde{\Lambda})\backslash \mathrm{O}(\Lambda_n, \widetilde{\Lambda})$. Proposition 1.3 makes us able to extend this distinguished (orbit of) embedding to any manifold of $\mathrm{Kum}^{[n]}$-type.

Proposition 1.4 ([Wie16, Theorem 4.1])**.** *If $n \geq 2$, then there exists a distinguished well-defined $\mathrm{Mon}^2(X)$-invariant $\mathrm{O}(\widetilde{\Lambda})$-orbit $[i_X]$ of isometric primitive embeddings $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}) \to \widetilde{\Lambda}$.*

We now recall the definition of this orbit, skipping the details. Let X be deformation equivalent to $\mathcal{K}_v(A)$ and pick a parallel transport operator $h\colon H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(\mathcal{K}_v(A),\mathbb{Z})$. Then define $[i_X] := [i_v \circ h] \in \mathrm{O}(\widetilde{\Lambda})\backslash \mathrm{O}(H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}), \widetilde{\Lambda})$. It is not difficult to check that this orbit is $\mathrm{Mon}^2(X)$-invariant and that it is independent of the choice of the parallel transport operator. More subtle is the independence of the choice of the moduli space $\mathcal{K}_v(A)$, which relies on results of Yoshioka in [Yos01] (cf. [Wie16] for details).

Proposition 1.5. *Let $g\colon H^2(X_1,\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(X_2,\mathbb{Z})$ be an orientation preserving isometry between two irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds X_1 and X_2 of $\mathrm{Kum}^{[n]}$-type.*

1. *If g is a parallel transport operator, then $[i_{X_1}] = [i_{X_2}] \circ g$.*
2. *If $[i_{X_1}] = [i_{X_2}] \circ g$, then either g is a parallel transport operator or the composition $\tau_{X_2} \circ g$ is a parallel transport operator.*

Proof. The first part is a direct consequence of Proposition 1.4. In fact, pick a moduli space $\mathcal{K}_v(A)$ and two parallel transport operators $h_i\colon H^2(X_i,\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(\mathcal{K}_v(A),\mathbb{Z})$ such that $[i_{X_i}] = [i_v \circ h_i]$. The composition $h_2 \circ g \circ h_1^{-1}$ is a monodromy operator by assumption and by Proposition 1.3 we have $h_2 \circ g \circ h_1^{-1} \in W(\mathcal{K}_v(A))$. The last group can be identified with the group of isometries of $H^2(\mathcal{K}_v(A),\mathbb{Z})$ which can be extended to $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ (cf. [Mar08, Lemma 4.10]). In particular there exists $\tilde{g} \in \mathrm{O}(\widetilde{\Lambda})$ such that $i_v \circ h_2 \circ g \circ h_1^{-1} = \tilde{g} \circ i_v$, which is the thesis.

For the second part, suppose that g is not a parallel transport operator. Pick a parallel transport operator $h\colon H^2(X_2,\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(X_1,\mathbb{Z})$ and note that the composition $h \circ g \in W(X_1)$ is not in $\mathrm{Mon}^2(X_1)$. Consider now the composition $h \circ \tau_{X_2} \circ g \in W(X_1)$. An easy computation shows that $h \circ \tau_{X_2} \circ g \in \mathrm{Mon}^2(X_1)$ and hence $\tau_{X_2} \circ g$ is a parallel transport operator because it is a composition of parallel transport operators. □

Denote by Ξ_n the set of connected components of $\mathfrak{M}_n = \mathfrak{M}_{\Lambda_n}$. Define the map

$$\mathrm{orb}\colon \Xi_n \longrightarrow \mathrm{O}(\widetilde{\Lambda})\backslash\, \mathrm{O}(\Lambda_n, \widetilde{\Lambda}),$$

by sending a connected component $\mathfrak{M}_n^\xi$ to $[i_X] \circ \eta^{-1}$, where $(X,\eta) \in \mathfrak{M}_n^\xi$. Proposition 1.5 implies that orb is well defined.

Recall from [Mar11, Section 4] that a connected component $\mathfrak{M}_n^\xi$ uniquely determines an orientation of the big cone $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_n = \{x \in \Lambda_n \otimes \mathbb{R} \mid (x,x) > 0\}$ and hence there is a well defined map $\mathrm{orient}\colon \Xi_n \to \mathrm{orient}(\Lambda_n)$, where $\mathrm{orient}(\Lambda_n)$ is the two-points set of possible orientations of $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_n$.

As a corollary of Proposition 1.5 we get the following result.

Proposition 1.6. *The map*

$$\mathrm{orb} \times \mathrm{orient}\colon \Xi_n \longrightarrow \mathrm{O}(\widetilde{\Lambda})\backslash\, \mathrm{O}(\Lambda_n, \widetilde{\Lambda}) \times \mathrm{orient}(\Lambda_n)$$

is $2:1$.

Remark 1.7. The corresponding map is bijective in the $K3^{[n]}$-case (cf. [Mar11, Corollary 9.10]). Proposition 1.6 is a Namikawa-like phenomenon (cf. [Nam02]), namely the two pairs $(\mathrm{Kum}^{[n]}(A),\eta)$ and $(\mathrm{Kum}^{[n]}(\hat{A}),\eta\circ\tau)$ belong to different connected components but are mapped to the same element. Here $\tau\colon H^2(\mathrm{Kum}^{[n]}(\hat{A}),\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(\mathrm{Kum}^{[n]}(A),\mathbb{Z})$ is the orientation preserving Hodge isometry got by extending the isometry induced by the Fourier-Mukai transform $\mathrm{D}^b(\hat{A}) \cong \mathrm{D}^b(A)$ (cf. [MM12, Section 4]).

Note that the number of connected components is always a multiple of four. Geometrically, this is because the moduli space of abelian surfaces has four connected components.

Remark 1.8. By [Mar10, Lemma 4.3.(1)], the cardinality of the set $\mathrm{O}(\widetilde{\Lambda})\backslash\, \mathrm{O}(\Lambda_n, \widetilde{\Lambda})$ is $2^{\rho(n+1)-1}$, where $\rho(n+1)$ is the number of primes in the factorisation of $n+1$.

Example 1.9. The moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_2$ has exactly four connected components. More generally, the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_n$ has four connected components if $n+1$ is a power of a prime number. This is the simplest case where the connected components are all induced by the moduli space of abelian surfaces.

2. Connected components of polarised moduli spaces

Recall from [Mar11, Section 10], that $\mathrm{Mon}^2(X)$ is isomorphic to the group generated by products of reflections $\rho_{u_1}\cdots\rho_{u_k}$ where $(u_i,u_i) = -2$ for an even number of indices and $(u_i,u_i) = 2$ for the remaining ones. Here

$$\rho_u(x) = \begin{cases} x + (x,u)u & \text{if} \quad (u,u) = -2 \\ -x + (x,u)u & \text{if} \quad (u,u) = 2. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 2.1. *Let $g\colon H^2(X_1,\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(X_2,\mathbb{Z})$ be an orientation preserving isometry between two polarised manifolds (X_1,h_1) and (X_2,h_2) of $\mathrm{Kum}^{[n]}$-type.*

(1) *If g is a polarised parallel transport operator, then $g(h_1) = h_2$ and $[i_{X_1}] = [i_{X_2}] \circ g$.*
(2) *If $[i_{X_1}] = [i_{X_2}] \circ g$ and $g(h_1) = h_2$, then either g is a polarised parallel transport operator or there exists a vector $u \in H^2(X_2, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $(u, u) = -2$, $(h_2, u) = 0$ and the composition $\rho_u \circ g$ is a polarised parallel transport operator.*

Remark 2.2. (1) The reflection ρ_u, with $(u, u) = -2$, is the operator τ_{X_2} in Proposition 1.5. Nevertheless, by item (3) in Definition 1.1, we need to control where the polarisation is moved to and hence this characterisation of τ_{X_2} is more useful.
(2) For fixed $h \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$, the existence of $u \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $(u, u) = -2$ and $(h, u) = 0$ is assured by the Eichler criterion ([GHS09, Proposition 3.3]).

Following [Apo14], we now construct a monodromy invariant which translates the problem of counting the number of connected components into a combinatorial one.

Let Σ_n be the set of isometry classes of pairs (T, l) where $T \subset \tilde{\Lambda}$ is a primitive and positive rank 2 sublattice and $l \in T$ is a primitive and positive class such that $l^\perp = \langle 2n+2 \rangle$. Two pairs (T, l) and (T', l') are said to be isometric if there exists an isometry $T \cong T'$ which takes l to l'.

For $h \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ primitive and positive, pick $i_X \in [i_X]$ and denote by $T(X, h) \subset \tilde{\Lambda}$ the rank 2 positive primitive sublattice containing $i_X(H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}))^\perp$ and $i_X(h)$. Note that the class $[(T(X, h), i_X(h))]$ is independent of the choice of $i_X \in [i_X]$.

Denote by $I(X) \subset H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ the subset of primitive classes h such that $(h, h) > 0$ and define the map

$$f_X \colon I(X) \longrightarrow \Sigma_n \tag{2.1}$$

that takes each class $h \in I(X)$ to $[(T(X, h), i_X(h))]$. By Proposition 1.4, f_X is a monodromy invariant (cf. [Mar13, Section 5.3]).

Proposition 2.3. *There exists a polarised parallel transport operator between two polarised pairs (X_1, h_1) and (X_2, h_2) if and only if $f_{X_1}(h_1) = f_{X_2}(h_2)$.*

Proof. The proof is the same as the proof of [Apo14, Proposition 1.6], up to the final step. To conclude we need to use the fact that $\mathrm{Mon}^2(X) \subset W(X)$ has index 2 and apply Proposition 2.1. □

It follows that f_X is a faithful monodromy invariant.

Proposition 2.4. *The map $f \colon \Upsilon_n \to \Sigma_n$, which sends $\upsilon \in \Upsilon_n$ into $f_X(h) \in \Sigma_n$ for some $(X, h) \in \mathcal{V}_n^\upsilon$, is well defined and injective.*

Proof. The proof is the same as the proof of [Apo14, Theorem 1.7] using our Proposition 2.3 above instead of [Apo14, Proposition 1.6]. □

Pick integers $d, t > 0$ such that $t | \gcd(2g, 2n+2)$ and consider the moduli space $\mathcal{V}_n^{d,t}$ parametrising polarised irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds with polarisation types of degree $2d$ and divisibility t. Recall that the divisibility $\mathrm{div}(h)$ of an element $h \in \Lambda$ is the positive generator of the ideal $(h, \Lambda) \subset \mathbb{Z}$. Note that in general the values of d and t do not determine the orbit $\bar{h}$.

Remark 2.5. If $(X, h) \in \mathcal{V}_n^{d,t}$ then $f_X(h) = [(T(X, h), i_X(h))]$ is such that $(i_X(h), i_X(h)) = 2d$ and $\mathrm{div}(i_X(h)) = t$. The latter is equivalent to requiring that the discriminant of $T(X, h)$ is $4d(n+1)/t^2$. Indeed, by [Apo14, Proposition 2.2], the divisibility of $i_X(h)$ is equal to the positive square root of $4d(n+1)/\mathrm{discr}(T(X, h))$, which in [Apo14] is called the index of $\langle i_X(h) \rangle \oplus \langle 2n+2 \rangle \subset T(X, h)$ (this is not the index in the sense of group theory).

If $\Upsilon_n^{d,t} \subset \Upsilon_n$ is the set of connected components of $\mathcal{V}_n^{d,t}$, the previous remark shows that

$$f(\Upsilon_n^{d,t}) \subset \Sigma_n^{d,t} := \left\{ [(T, h)] \in \Sigma_n \mid (h, h) = 2d \text{ and } \mathrm{discr}(T) = \frac{4d(n+1)}{t^2} \right\}. \tag{2.2}$$

Remark 2.6. The set $\Sigma_n^{d,t}$ can be identified with the set of isometries of primitive embeddings $j \colon \langle 2d \rangle \hookrightarrow T$ such that $\mathrm{discr}(T) = 4d(n+1)/t^2$ and $j(\langle 2d \rangle)^\perp \cong \langle 2n+2 \rangle$. Two embeddings j and j' are isometric if there exists $\phi \in \mathrm{O}(T)$ such that $j = \phi \circ j'$ and ϕ restricts as the identity on $\langle 2d \rangle$.

Proposition 2.7. *The map $f \colon \Upsilon_n^{d,t} \to \Sigma_n^{d,t}$ is surjective.*

Proof. See [Apo14, Proposition 2.3]. □

It turns out that the cardinality of $\Sigma_n^{d,t}$ is easy to compute using Remark 2.6. In fact the set of embeddings $\langle 2d\rangle \hookrightarrow T$ is in bijection with the set of isometry classes of pairs (H,γ) where $H \subset A_{2d}$ is a subgroup of the discriminant group of $\langle 2d\rangle$ and $\gamma\colon H \to A_{2n+2}$ is a monomorphism into the discriminant group of $\langle 2n+2\rangle$ such that the graph $\Gamma_\gamma \subset A_{2d}\times A_{2n+2}$ is isotropic. Two pairs (H,γ) and (H',γ') are said to be isometric if there exist $\phi \in \mathrm{O}(\langle 2d\rangle)$ and $\psi \in \mathrm{O}(\langle 2n+2\rangle)$ such that $\gamma\circ\bar{\phi} = \bar{\psi}\circ\gamma'$, where $\bar{\phi}$ and $\bar{\psi}$ are the induced maps on the discriminant groups (cf. [Nik79, Proposition 1.6.1]). The condition $\mathrm{discr}(T) = 4d(n+1)/t^2$ implies that H has order t.

Identifying A_{2d} with $\mathbb{Z}/2d\mathbb{Z}$, we can write $H = \langle 2d/t\rangle$ and then γ is uniquely determined by the image $f(2d/t) = c(2n+2)/t$, where c is coprime with t. The isotropy condition reads then

$$\frac{2d}{t^2} + \frac{c^2(2n+2)}{t^2} \equiv 0 \pmod 2. \tag{2.3}$$

Put

$$d_1 = \tfrac{2d}{\gcd(2d,2n+2)}, \quad n_1 = \tfrac{2n+2}{\gcd(2d,2n+2)}, \quad g = \tfrac{\gcd(2d,2n+2)}{t}$$

$$w = \gcd(g,t), \qquad g_1 = \tfrac{g}{w}, \qquad t_1 = \tfrac{t}{w}$$

and note that equation (2.3) is equivalent to

$$g_1(d_1 + c^2 n_1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2t_1}. \tag{2.4}$$

For an integer l we write $\phi(l)$ for the Euler function and $\rho(l)$ for the number of primes in the factorisation of l (cf. Remark 1.8). For w and t_1 as defined above, we write $w = w_+(t_1)w_-(t_1)$ where $w_+(t_1)$ is the product of all powers of primes dividing $\gcd(w,t_1)$.

Theorem 2.8 (cf. [Apo14, Proposition 2.1])**.** *With the above notations we have:*

- $|\Upsilon_n^{d,t}| = w_+(t_1)\phi(w_-(t_1))2^{\rho(t_1)-1}$ *if* $t>2$ *and one of the following holds:*
  - $\diamond$ g_1 *is even,* $\gcd(d_1,t_1) = 1 = \gcd(n_1,t_1)$ *and* $-d_1/n_1$ *is a quadratic residue mod* t_1*;*
  - $\diamond$ g_1, t_1 *and* d_1 *are odd,* $\gcd(d_1,t_1) = 1 = \gcd(n_1,2t_1)$ *and* $-d_1/n_1$ *is a quadratic residue mod* $2t_1$*;*
  - $\diamond$ g_1, t_1 *and* w *are odd,* d_1 *is even,* $\gcd(d_1,t_1) = 1 = \gcd(n_1,2t_1)$ *and* $-d_1/4n_1$ *is a quadratic residue mod* t_1*.*
- $|\Upsilon_n^{d,t}| = w_+(t_1)\phi(w_-(t_1))2^{\rho(t_1/2)-1}$ *if* $t>2$*,* g_1 *is odd,* t_1 *is even,* $\gcd(d_1,t_1) = 1 = \gcd(n_1,2t_1)$ *and* $-d_1/n_1$ *is a quadratic residue mod* $2t_1$*.*
- $|\Upsilon_n^{d,t}| = 1$ *if* $t \le 2$ *and one of the following holds:*
  - $\diamond$ g_1 *is even,* $\gcd(d_1,t_1) = 1 = \gcd(n_1,t_1)$ *and* $-d_1/n_1$ *is a quadratic residue mod* t_1*;*
  - $\diamond$ g_1, t_1 *and* d_1 *are odd,* $\gcd(d_1,t_1) = 1 = \gcd(n_1,2t_1)$ *and* $-d_1/n_1$ *is a quadratic residue mod* $2t_1$*;*
  - $\diamond$ g_1, t_1 *and* w *are odd,* d_1 *is even,* $\gcd(d_1,t_1) = 1 = \gcd(n_1,2t_1)$ *and* $-d_1/4n_1$ *is a quadratic residue mod* t_1*;*
  - $\diamond$ g_1 *is odd,* t_1 *is even,* $\gcd(d_1,t_1) = 1 = \gcd(n_1,2t_1)$ *and* $-d_1/n_1$ *is a quadratic residue mod* $2t_1$*.*
- $|\Upsilon_n^{d,t}| = 0$ *otherwise.*

Proof. $|\Upsilon_n^{d,t}|$ is equal to the number of solutions c of equation (2.4) such that $\gcd(c,t) = 1$. This problem was already solved in [GHS10, Proposition 3.6]. As explained in the proof of [Apo14, Proposition 2.1], since we are interested in isometry classes of embeddings we need to divide the numbers obtained in [GHS10] by 2 when $t>2$. On the other hand, when $t \le 2$ the graph Γ_γ is fixed by the action of $\mathrm{O}(\langle 2d\rangle)$ and $\mathrm{O}(\langle 2n+2\rangle)$ and hence we get the exact number. □

Remark 2.9. When $w=1$, the values of d and t determine the polarisation type, i.e. $\mathcal{V}_{\bar{h}} = \mathcal{V}_n^{d,t}$ (cf. [GHS10, Corollary 3.7]).

Example 2.10.
(1) If $t=1$, then the polarisation type is determined and the corresponding moduli space is connected.
(2) Let p and q be two (different) odd primes and put $t = d = pq$ and $n+1 = mpq$, where $\gcd(m,pq) = 1$ and $-m$ is a quadratic residue mod pq. Then d and t determines the polarisation type $\bar{h}$ and the moduli space $\mathcal{V}_{\bar{h}}$ has two connected components.
(3) If $\gcd(2d,2n+2)$ is square free, then $w=1$ (cf. [GHS10, Remark 3.13]). This is the case, for example, when $2n+2$ is square free.

(4) When $\dim X = 4$, we have that $\mathcal{V}_{\bar{h}}$ is connected in the following cases: $t = 1$; $t = 2$, $\gcd(d, 2) = \gcd(d, 3) = 1$ and d is a quadratic residue mod 4; $t = 2$, $d = 3\tilde{d}$, $\gcd(\tilde{d}, 2) = 1$ and $-\tilde{d}$ is a quadratic residue mod 4; $t = 3$, $d = 3\tilde{d}$, $\gcd(\tilde{d}, 3) = 1$ and $-\tilde{d}$ is a quadratic residue mod 4. Moreover, $\mathcal{V}_{\bar{h}}$ has two connected components if $t = 6$, $d = 3\tilde{d}$, $\gcd(\tilde{d}, 6) = 1$ and $-\tilde{d}$ is a quadratic residue mod 12. Finally, $\mathcal{V}_{\bar{h}}$ is empty for all the remaining cases.

References

[Apo14] A. Apostolov. Moduli spaces of polarised irreducible symplectic manifolds are not necessarily connected. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 64(1):189–202, 2014.

[Bea83] A. Beauville. Variétés Kähleriennes dont la première classe de Chern est nulle. *J. Differential Geom.*, 18(4):755–782, 1983.

[GHS09] V. Gritsenko, K. Hulek, and G. K. Sankaran. Abelianisation of orthogonal groups and the fundamental group of modular varieties. *J. Algebra*, 322(2):463–478, 2009.

[GHS10] V. Gritsenko, K. Hulek, and G. K. Sankaran. Moduli spaces of irreducible symplectic manifolds. *Compos. Math.*, 146(2):404–434, 2010.

[GHS13] V. Gritsenko, K. Hulek, and G. K. Sankaran. Moduli of $K3$ surfaces and irreducible symplectic manifolds. In *Handbook of moduli. Vol. I*, volume 24 of *Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM)*, pages 459–526. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2013.

[Huy99] D. Huybrechts. Compact Hyperkähler Manifolds: Basic results. *Invent. Math.*, 135(1):63–113, 1999. And Erratum, Invent. Math., 152(1):209–212, 2003.

[Mar08] E. Markman. On the monodromy of moduli spaces of sheaves on $K3$ surfaces I. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 17:29–99, 2008.

[Mar10] E. Markman. Integral constraints on the monodromy group of the Hyperkähler resolution of a symmetric product of a $K3$ surface. *Internat. J. Math.*, 21(2):169–223, 2010.

[Mar11] E. Markman. A survey of Torelli and monodromy results for holomorphic-symplectic varieties. In *Complex and differential geometry*, volume 8 of *Springer Proc. Math.*, pages 257–322. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.

[Mar13] E. Markman. Prime exceptional divisors on holomorphic symplectic varieties and monodromy-reflections. *Kyoto J. Math.*, 53(2):345–403, 2013.

[MM12] E. Markman and S. Mehrotra. Hilbert schemes of $K3$ surfaces are dense in moduli. *arXiv:1201.0031v1*, 2012.

[Mon14] G. Mongardi. On the monodromy of irreducible symplectic manifolds. *to appear in Alg. Geom.*, 2014.

[Nam02] Y. Namikawa. Counter-example to global Torelli problem for irreducible symplectic manifolds. *Math. Ann.*, 324(4):841–845, 2002.

[Nik79] V. V. Nikulin. Integral symmetric bilinear forms and some of their applications. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 43(1):111–177, 1979. English translation in Math. USSR, Izvestiya, 14(1):103–167, 1980.

[Vie95] E. Viehweg. *Quasi-Projective Moduli for Polarized Manifolds*, volume 30 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3)*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.

[Wie16] B. Wieneck. Monodromy invariants and polarization types of generalized Kummer fibrations. *arXiv:1606.09010v1*, 2016.

[Yos01] K. Yoshioka. Moduli spaces of stable sheaves on abelian surfaces. *Math. Ann.*, 321(4):817–884, 2001.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Bath, Bath BA2 7AY, England
E-mail address: C.Onorati@bath.ac.uk